



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/695,623	10/24/2000	Hiroyuki Honma	9792909-4845	2772
7590 04/02/2004 SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL PO BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION - SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606			EXAMINER	
			HOFFMAN, BRANDON S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	O _l
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004	4 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/695,623	HONMA, HIROYUKI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brandon Hoffman	2136					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a . I reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>17 March 2004</u> .	•					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	owance except for formal ma der <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.	atters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	.tion						
,	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
<u> </u>							
<u> </u>	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement						
Application Papers	la/or cicollori requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ approved b)□ o	disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required i	n reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority docum							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language	provisional application has b	een received.					
Attachment(s)	, , ,						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/695,623 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-18 are pending in this office action.

2. Applicant's arguments filed March 17, 2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Rejections

3. The text of those sections of 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. <u>Claims 1-4, 6-8, and 10-13, 15-17</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Tsutsui et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,314,391).

Regarding <u>claims 1 and 10</u>, <u>Tsutsui et al.</u> teaches:

An information management method/apparatus comprising (fig. 18):

• Generating protection information for protecting the storage area of a recording medium storing a second string of codes recorded by a second coding technique from any recording, editing and erasing operations of a first apparatus adapted to handle a first string of codes by a first coding technique and refer to the first management data stored in a first management area (fig. 18, address location 0 and 1 are the first string of codes by a first coding technique and refer to the first

management data stored in a first management area. Once the first apparatus reads address location 0 and 1, it then reads location 5, then 116. From there it goes to location 200000, where the message "for reproducing signals of this disc, use B codec related reproducing device.");

- Arranging said protection information in the first management data area as one of said first management data (col. 17, line 61 through col. 18, line 2); and
- Protecting the storage area of the medium storing said second string of codes from any recording, editing and erasing operations of said first apparatus on the basis of said protection information when the medium storing said second string of codes is operated by said first apparatus (col. 18, lines 2-12).

Regarding <u>claims 2 and 11</u>, <u>Tsutsui et al.</u> teaches said first apparatus is permitted to reproduce only the part of the first string of codes on the basis of said protection information when a single string of codes generated by means of both said first coding technique and said second coding technique is recorded on said recording medium (col. 18, lines 63-67).

Regarding <u>claims 3 and 12</u>, <u>Tsutsui et al.</u> teaches said protection information indicates that the area that can be used by said first apparatus for recording is made nil in said area on the medium or said area on the medium is made smaller than the allowable area of said first apparatus (col. 19, lines 12-31).

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding claims 4 and 13, Tsutsui et al. teaches said protection information indicates that the storage information of the address information indicating the position of the area on the medium that can be used for recording by said first apparatus is made equal to nil (fig. 18, address storage location information 1 refers to location 116, which in turn refers to location 200000, which is made 0 or nil, and col. 18, lines 13-24).

Regarding claims 6 and 15, Tsutsui et al. teaches:

- A second management data area that can be referred to only by the second apparatus adapted to handle the second string of codes or both the first string of codes and the second string of codes is provided on said medium (fig. 18, address location 2, and col. 18, lines 25-48) and
- The first management data are arranged in said second management data area except said protection information (col. 18, lines 44-48 suggests that the once recorded first management data are now arranged in the second management data area because the second management data area has claimed the first address storage location that once was referenced by the first management data area. Hence, the first management data are arranged in said second management data area except said protection information).

Regarding <u>claims 7 and 16</u>, <u>Tsutsui et al.</u> teaches said second apparatus is adapted to refer to both said first management data area and said second management data area (col. 16, line 64 through col. 17, line 3).

Regarding <u>claims 8 and 17</u>, <u>Tsutsui et al.</u> teaches said second apparatus is adapted to disregard said first management data area and refers to only the second management data area when said protection information is arranged in said first management data area (col. 18, lines 25-42).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. <u>Claims 5 and 14</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Tsutsui et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,314,391) in view of <u>Nakashima et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,708,650).

Regarding <u>claims 5 and 14</u>, <u>Tsutsui et al.</u> teaches all the limitations of claims 1 and 10, respectively. However <u>Tsutsui et al.</u> does not teach said protection information indicates that the protection mode of the track on the medium is prohibited from rewriting.

<u>Nakashima et al.</u> teaches said protection information indicates that the protection mode of the track on the medium is prohibited from rewriting (fig. 20, 'write-protection flag' and col. 3, lines 10-19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have the protection information indicate that the protection mode of the track on the medium is prohibited from rewriting, as taught by Nakashima

Application/Control Number: 09/695,623

Art Unit: 2136

et al., combined with the method/apparatus of <u>Tsutsui et al.</u> It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have protection information indicate the medium is prohibited from rewriting, as taught by <u>Nakashima et al.</u>, combined with the method/apparatus of <u>Tsutsui et al.</u> because the protection flag allows data to remain on the medium for a user-added benefit.

Page 6

<u>Claims 9 and 18</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Tsutsui et al</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,314,391) in view of <u>Takezawa</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,392,265).

Regarding <u>claims 9 and 18</u>, <u>Tsutsui et al.</u> teaches all the limitations of claims 1 and 6, and also claims 11, and 15-17, respectively. However, <u>Tsutsui et al.</u> does not teach said second apparatus initializes said first management data area and allows the medium to be used by said first apparatus for recording, editing and erasing when said second string of codes no longer exists on said medium.

<u>Takezawa</u> teaches said second apparatus initializes said first management data area and allows the medium to be used by said first apparatus for recording, editing and erasing when said second string of codes no longer exists on said medium (col. 2, lines 31-43).

Application/Control Number: 09/695,623 Page 7

Art Unit: 2136

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to allow recording, editing, and erasing of data after a second string of codes no longer exists on a medium, as taught by Takezawa, combined with the method/apparatus of Tsutsui et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow recording, editing, and erasing of data after a second string of codes no longer exists on a medium, as taught by Takezawa, combined with the method/apparatus of Tsutsui et al. because this well known task is common in record management of data on an optical medium. When data is added, deleted, or edited, the TOC is automatically updated to reflect the changes made. This implies that when a second string of codes is deleted, i.e. no longer exists on a medium, the TOC is updated to reflect the change, therefore informing the apparatus adapted to the old standards that information exists in the old format exclusively. This would allow the first apparatus recording, editing, and erasing rights.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant amends claims 11-18.
- 7. Applicant argues:
 - a. Independent claims 1 and 10 are not taught by Tsutsui et al. to include editing or erasing signals recorded on the recording medium, or generating protection information to protect the storage area of a recording medium from any recording, editing and erasing operations of a first apparatus adapted to

Application/Control Number: 09/695,623

Art Unit: 2136

handle a first string of codes by a first coding technique (page 23, second paragraph).

Page 8

- b. Independent claims 1 and 10 are not taught by Tsutsui et al. to include arranging the protection information in the first management data area as one of the first management data, or protecting the storage area of the medium storing the second string of codes from any recording, editing and erasing operations of the first apparatus on the basis of the protection information when the medium storing the second string of codes is operated by the first apparatus (page 23, second paragraph).
- c. Dependent claims 2-9 and 11-18 are allowable based on their dependency on allowable claims 1 and 10 (page 23, last paragraph and page 24, the whole page).

Regarding argument (a), examiner disagrees with applicant. Tsutsui et al. does not teach editing or erasing signals recorded on the recording medium. However, in order to record, edit, or erase any data from the recording medium storing the first string of codes, while being reproduced by the first apparatus, the management area of the recording medium is read first. The reading performs the steps in column 17, line 61 through col. 18, line 24; more specifically, the management area that is read deters a user from using the recording medium by a device of the first apparatus. This would prevent any further recording, editing, or erasing of the first string of codes while being the first apparatus.

Application/Control Number: 09/695,623

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding argument (b), examiner disagrees with applicant. In order to record, edit, or erase any data from the recording medium storing the second string of codes, while being reproduced by the first apparatus, the management area of the recording medium is read first. The reading performs the steps in column 18, lines 25-49; more specifically, the management area that is read deters a user from using the recording medium by a device of the first apparatus. This would prevent any further recording, editing, or erasing of the second string of codes while being the first apparatus.

Regarding argument (c), examiner disagrees with applicant. Based on the arguments set forth by the examiner for arguments (a) and (b), the dependent claims stand as rejected.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/695,623 Page 10

Art Unit: 2136

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon Hoffman whose telephone number is 703-305-4662. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheik can be reached on 703-305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Branda Hoff

BH 3/27/04

AYAZ SHEIKH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100